Remarks

1. The application needed to be amended to update information on Page 31.
The information on page 31 has been updated accordingly.

2. Claims 13 and 32 are objected to for lack of punctuation.
These claims have been punctuated by amendment.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 19, 21, 23-24 and 38 are rejected as being obvious over Tonkin et al. in view of Parker et al..
It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin or Parker, singularly or in combination teach or suggest an interface for controlling a printer to print a document having multiple pages which has page representations for each page of the document with at least one page representation characterized by an ordered media attribute, and wherein an attribute representation for the ordered media attributes symbolically represents the applicability of the ordered media attribute to the applicable page, as the amended claims specify.

4. Claims 3, 6-9, 11-12, 15-17, 25-28, 30-31 and 34-36 are rejected as being obvious over Tonkin et al. in view of Parker et al., and in further view of Hube.
It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin, Parker or Hube singularly or in combination teach or suggest an interface for controlling a printer to print a document having multiple pages which has page representations for each page of the document with at least one page representation characterized by an ordered media attribute, and wherein an attribute representation for the ordered media attributes symbolically represents the applicability of the ordered media attribute to the applicable page, as the amended claims specify.

5. Claims 10 and 29 are are rejected as being obvious over Tonkin et al. in view of Parker et al., and in further view of Lodwick et al..
It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin, Parker or Lodwick singularly or in combination teach or suggest an interface for controlling a printer to print a document having multiple pages which has page representations for each page of the document with at least one page representation characterized by an ordered media attribute, and wherein an attribute representation for the ordered media attributes symbolically represents the applicability of the ordered media attribute to the applicable page, as the amended claims specify.

6. Claims 13-14 and 32-33 are rejected as being obvious over Tonkin et al. in view of Parker et al., and in further view of Lunt.
It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin, Parker or Lunt singularly or in combination teach or suggest an interface for controlling a printer to print a document having multiple pages which has page representations for each page of the document with at least one page representation characterized by an ordered media attribute, and wherein an attribute representation for the ordered media attributes symbolically represents the applicability of the ordered media attribute to the applicable page, as the amended claims specify.

7. Claims 13-14 and 32-33 are rejected as being obvious over Tonkin et al. in view of Parker et al., and in further view of Kanevsky et al..

It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin, Parker or Kanevsky et al. singularly or in combination teach or suggest an interface for controlling a printer to print a document having multiple pages which has page representations for each page of the document with at least one page representation characterized by an ordered media attribute, and wherein an attribute representation for the ordered media attributes symbolically represents the applicability of the ordered media attribute to the applicable page, as the amended claims specify.

In view of the above reasons, it is submitted that the claims are not obvious over the combined teachings of Tonkin, Parker, Hube, Lunt, Lodwick or Kanevsky and that the application is now in condition for allowance, and notice of the same is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Romanchik
Registration No. 33,766
Attorney for Applicant

HEIDELBERG DIGITAL L.L.C.
2600 MANITOU ROAD
ROCHESTER, NY 14624
(585) 512-8581